

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,433	01/14/2005	Kazuya Goto	264595US0PCT	1537
22850	7590 05/30/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			PIZIALI, ANDREW T	
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDRI	STREET IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/521,433	GOTO ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Andrew T. Piziali	1771					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of the capabandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	January 2005.						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
· <u> </u>	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	8) Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers			•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	n received in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bure							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	<i></i> ,				

Application/Control Number: 10/521,433 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions that are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-14, drawn to a prepreg.

Group II, claims 15-17, drawn to a process for producing a prepreg.

Group III, claims 18-27, drawn to an intermediate material.

Group IV, claim 28, drawn to a process for producing an intermediate material.

Group V, claims 29, 31 and 33-35, drawn to a process for producing a fiber-reinforced composite material using a prepreg.

Group VI, claims 30 and 32, drawn to a process for producing a fiber-reinforced composite material using an intermediate material.

4. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reason: Any international application must relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (see MPEP 1850). As demonstrated by the "X" and "Y" references on the International Search

Report, at least one independent claim of the application does not avoid the prior art, therefore, the special technical feature of the application is anticipated by or obvious in view of the prior art. Consequently, the inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- 5. In the event that claims directed to the product are elected, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined. Therefore, upon the election of Group I or III, rejoinder will be considered upon indication of allowable subject matter pursuant to MPEP 821.04.
- 6. This application also contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species Group I

Species 1, wherein resin completely covers the surface of the sheet-like reinforcing fiber substrate.

Species 2, wherein resin does not completely cover the surface of the sheet-like reinforcing fiber substrate.

Species Group II

Species 1, wherein resin completely impregnates the sheet-like reinforcing fiber substrate.

Species 2, wherein resin partially impregnates the sheet-like reinforcing fiber substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,433

Art Unit: 1771

7. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species, from each Species Group, to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 4

- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 9. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reason: Any international application must relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (see MPEP 1850). As demonstrated by the "X" and "Y" references on the International Search Report, at least one independent claim of the application does not avoid the prior art, therefore, the special technical feature of the application is anticipated by or obvious in view of the prior art. Consequently, the inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 10. A telephone call was made to Stephen Baxter on 5/25/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,433 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 12. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 13. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T. Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-1541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/521,433 Page 6

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

atp

ANDREW T. PIZIALI
PATENT EXAMINER